

Evaluation Criteria

Each application will be reviewed to determine if the application is responsive to the submission requirements outlined in the RFF. A responsive application is one that follows the requirements of the RFF, includes all documentation, is submitted in the format outlined in the RFF, and is of timely submission. Failure to comply with these requirements may deem your application nonresponsive. Applications will be reviewed and scored for qualitative and technical merit according to the criteria described below.

Evaluation of the proposals will include the appropriateness of a particular project, subject to the established criteria.

25 points possible for scoring

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Scoring Method	Max Points								
1.	<p>Shared commonality of Organization’s mission and vision with City of Benicia mission, vision, and values</p> <p><i>CITY’S VISION:</i> Benicia is a vibrant city that celebrates our rich culture, beautiful waterfront, welcoming community, and small-town feel.</p> <p><i>CITY’s MISSION:</i> Our mission is to provide sustainable city services to help our residents thrive. We strive to encourage economic opportunities, maintain sound infrastructure, and foster a safe and healthy community.</p> <p><i>CITY VALUES:</i></p> <p><i>Accountable:</i> We are honest, transparent, and committed to doing what’s right.</p> <p><i>Community-focused:</i> We listen to our community members, adapt to changing circumstances, and communicate openly to meet our residents’ needs today and in the future.</p> <p><i>Inclusive:</i> We foster an environment where all individuals feel welcome, respected, and empowered.</p> <p><i>Teamwork:</i> We work together to achieve common goals and collaborate to create the best possible services for our community.</p> <p><i>Respectful:</i> We act professionally, value others’ perspectives, and create a supportive environment where all contributions are appreciated.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="251 1556 1242 1766"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="251 1556 464 1591">Exemplary: 3.0</th> <th data-bbox="464 1556 677 1591">Good: 2.0</th> <th data-bbox="677 1556 987 1591">Needs Improvement: 1.0</th> <th data-bbox="987 1556 1242 1591">Inadequate: 0.0</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="251 1591 464 1766"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 6 or more shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values </td> <td data-bbox="464 1591 677 1766"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 4 or 5 shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values </td> <td data-bbox="677 1591 987 1766"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 2 or 3 shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values </td> <td data-bbox="987 1591 1242 1766"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 1 or fewer or more shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Exemplary: 3.0	Good: 2.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 6 or more shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 4 or 5 shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 2 or 3 shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 1 or fewer or more shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values 	Points Based	3
Exemplary: 3.0	Good: 2.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 6 or more shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 4 or 5 shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 2 or 3 shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has 1 or fewer or more shared commonalities with the City mission, vision and values 								

2.	<p>Project Design & Community Need</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="251 254 500 289">Exemplary: 5.0</th> <th data-bbox="500 254 724 289">Good: 3.0</th> <th data-bbox="724 254 1024 289">Needs Improvement: 1.0</th> <th data-bbox="1024 254 1237 289">Inadequate: 0.0</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="251 289 500 848"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Target population, services, and activities are well defined The project is innovative and provides a new service to the community. Need for service is clearly described and includes relevant data supporting the need. Benicia residents expected to be 75% or more of the beneficiaries </td> <td data-bbox="500 289 724 848"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project goals, plans, and use of funds are stated. Need is described using anecdotes or simply a worthwhile cause. Target population, service, and activities are described and address the need, but lack detail. Benicia residents are expected to be 51-74% of the beneficiaries </td> <td data-bbox="724 289 1024 848"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project's purpose is unclear; design is vague and/or project is not clearly linked to goals. Needs described in the proposal do not reflect the greater needs of the community. Project is duplication of the existing programs or services in the community. Activities seem ineffective in addressing the need or are unclear as to how they meet the stated need. Benicia residents are not expected to be the majority of the beneficiaries </td> <td data-bbox="1024 289 1237 848"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Knowledge of the need of the community is not articulated or is not being addressed. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Exemplary: 5.0	Good: 3.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Target population, services, and activities are well defined The project is innovative and provides a new service to the community. Need for service is clearly described and includes relevant data supporting the need. Benicia residents expected to be 75% or more of the beneficiaries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project goals, plans, and use of funds are stated. Need is described using anecdotes or simply a worthwhile cause. Target population, service, and activities are described and address the need, but lack detail. Benicia residents are expected to be 51-74% of the beneficiaries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project's purpose is unclear; design is vague and/or project is not clearly linked to goals. Needs described in the proposal do not reflect the greater needs of the community. Project is duplication of the existing programs or services in the community. Activities seem ineffective in addressing the need or are unclear as to how they meet the stated need. Benicia residents are not expected to be the majority of the beneficiaries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Knowledge of the need of the community is not articulated or is not being addressed. 	Points Based	5
Exemplary: 5.0	Good: 3.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Target population, services, and activities are well defined The project is innovative and provides a new service to the community. Need for service is clearly described and includes relevant data supporting the need. Benicia residents expected to be 75% or more of the beneficiaries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project goals, plans, and use of funds are stated. Need is described using anecdotes or simply a worthwhile cause. Target population, service, and activities are described and address the need, but lack detail. Benicia residents are expected to be 51-74% of the beneficiaries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project's purpose is unclear; design is vague and/or project is not clearly linked to goals. Needs described in the proposal do not reflect the greater needs of the community. Project is duplication of the existing programs or services in the community. Activities seem ineffective in addressing the need or are unclear as to how they meet the stated need. Benicia residents are not expected to be the majority of the beneficiaries 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Knowledge of the need of the community is not articulated or is not being addressed. 								
3.	<p>Demonstrated success with past services/projects</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="251 926 488 961">Exemplary: 3.0</th> <th data-bbox="488 926 725 961">Good: 2.0</th> <th data-bbox="725 926 1024 961">Needs Improvement: 1.0</th> <th data-bbox="1024 926 1237 961">Inadequate: 0.0</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="251 961 488 1491"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has demonstrated past or current administration of at least 2 community-based services/projects Clear description of goals/objectives and community impact of projects Past/current projects benefit a significant portion of defined target community </td> <td data-bbox="488 961 725 1491"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has demonstrated past or current administration of at least 2 community-based services/projects Goals/objectives, and community impact identified by not clearly described Past project/current project benefits a small portion of defined target community </td> <td data-bbox="725 961 1024 1491"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has general experience with the administration of services/projects Provided clear description of goals/objectives and how objectives were met Was able to define target population </td> <td data-bbox="1024 961 1237 1491"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has no experience with the administration of services/projects </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Exemplary: 3.0	Good: 2.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has demonstrated past or current administration of at least 2 community-based services/projects Clear description of goals/objectives and community impact of projects Past/current projects benefit a significant portion of defined target community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has demonstrated past or current administration of at least 2 community-based services/projects Goals/objectives, and community impact identified by not clearly described Past project/current project benefits a small portion of defined target community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has general experience with the administration of services/projects Provided clear description of goals/objectives and how objectives were met Was able to define target population 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has no experience with the administration of services/projects 	Points Based	3
Exemplary: 3.0	Good: 2.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has demonstrated past or current administration of at least 2 community-based services/projects Clear description of goals/objectives and community impact of projects Past/current projects benefit a significant portion of defined target community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has demonstrated past or current administration of at least 2 community-based services/projects Goals/objectives, and community impact identified by not clearly described Past project/current project benefits a small portion of defined target community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has general experience with the administration of services/projects Provided clear description of goals/objectives and how objectives were met Was able to define target population 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Organization has no experience with the administration of services/projects 								
4.	<p>Financial Information</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="251 1562 725 1598">Good: 3.0</th> <th data-bbox="725 1562 1237 1598">Inadequate: 0.0</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="251 1598 725 1715"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected use of grant funding identified </td> <td data-bbox="725 1598 1237 1715"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected use of funding not identified -and/or- There is pending litigation or concerns about agency's financial practices. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Good: 3.0	Inadequate: 0.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected use of grant funding identified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected use of funding not identified -and/or- There is pending litigation or concerns about agency's financial practices. 	Points Based	3				
Good: 3.0	Inadequate: 0.0										
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected use of grant funding identified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected use of funding not identified -and/or- There is pending litigation or concerns about agency's financial practices. 										

5.	<p>Community Outreach</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="253 254 500 285">Exemplary: 3.0</th> <th data-bbox="506 254 727 285">Good: 2.0</th> <th data-bbox="734 254 1026 285">Needs Improvement: 1.0</th> <th data-bbox="1032 254 1240 285">Inadequate: 0.0</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="253 294 500 903"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal includes clearly stated goals, plans, and activities to make community members aware of the project's services and aims. •Methods of measuring success of community outreach efforts are clearly articulated. •Outreach considers underserved or marginalized community members and will employ targeted tactics to reach these audiences. </td> <td data-bbox="506 294 727 903"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts are noted and logically relate to services provided by the organization. •Some specific activities for these are included but are not thoroughly described or clear. •Outreach efforts, while adequate, are limited to outdated or passive methods such as print advertising that may not reach younger or marginalized audiences. </td> <td data-bbox="734 294 1026 903"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts are not clearly listed, or do not seem to be effective for the stated project goals. </td> <td data-bbox="1032 294 1240 903"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts not included or do not apply to project aims. No evidence of community outreach is evident or stated. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Exemplary: 3.0	Good: 2.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal includes clearly stated goals, plans, and activities to make community members aware of the project's services and aims. •Methods of measuring success of community outreach efforts are clearly articulated. •Outreach considers underserved or marginalized community members and will employ targeted tactics to reach these audiences. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts are noted and logically relate to services provided by the organization. •Some specific activities for these are included but are not thoroughly described or clear. •Outreach efforts, while adequate, are limited to outdated or passive methods such as print advertising that may not reach younger or marginalized audiences. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts are not clearly listed, or do not seem to be effective for the stated project goals. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts not included or do not apply to project aims. No evidence of community outreach is evident or stated. 	Points Based	3
Exemplary: 3.0	Good: 2.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal includes clearly stated goals, plans, and activities to make community members aware of the project's services and aims. •Methods of measuring success of community outreach efforts are clearly articulated. •Outreach considers underserved or marginalized community members and will employ targeted tactics to reach these audiences. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts are noted and logically relate to services provided by the organization. •Some specific activities for these are included but are not thoroughly described or clear. •Outreach efforts, while adequate, are limited to outdated or passive methods such as print advertising that may not reach younger or marginalized audiences. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts are not clearly listed, or do not seem to be effective for the stated project goals. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Outreach efforts not included or do not apply to project aims. No evidence of community outreach is evident or stated. 								
6.	<p>Diversity, Equity and Inclusivity</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="253 980 500 1012">Exemplary: 3.0</th> <th data-bbox="506 980 727 1012">Good: 2.0</th> <th data-bbox="734 980 1026 1012">Needs Improvement: 1.0</th> <th data-bbox="1032 980 1240 1012">Inadequate: 0.0</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="253 1020 500 1434"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will promote diversity. •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will advance equity. •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will emphasize inclusivity. </td> <td data-bbox="506 1020 727 1434"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which it will address 2 of the three (diversity, equity, inclusivity) </td> <td data-bbox="734 1020 1026 1434"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which it will address 1 of the three (diversity, equity, inclusivity) </td> <td data-bbox="1032 1020 1240 1434"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal does not identify any methods to address diversity, equity or inclusivity. </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Exemplary: 3.0	Good: 2.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will promote diversity. •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will advance equity. •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will emphasize inclusivity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which it will address 2 of the three (diversity, equity, inclusivity) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which it will address 1 of the three (diversity, equity, inclusivity) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal does not identify any methods to address diversity, equity or inclusivity. 	Points Based	3
Exemplary: 3.0	Good: 2.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will promote diversity. •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will advance equity. •The proposal identifies methods by which the project will emphasize inclusivity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which it will address 2 of the three (diversity, equity, inclusivity) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal identifies methods by which it will address 1 of the three (diversity, equity, inclusivity) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The proposal does not identify any methods to address diversity, equity or inclusivity. 								

6.	Deserving of Funding – 2000 character limit				Points Based	5
Exemplary: 5.0	Good: 3.0	Needs Improvement: 1.0	Inadequate: 0.0			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●Statement demonstrates that project has been well thought out start to end; expectations of success/outcomes are well defined and measurable; consideration has been given to how to best serve the greatest number of residents in Benicia community ●Project/service is ready to launch once funding is received ●Mostly 'exemplary' on all scoring items 1-6 (total score of 16-19, including a score of 3 on item 4), project deserves top priority for receiving funding. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●Statement demonstrates that project has been thought out with some detail; general expectation of success/outcomes noted; consideration given to how to best serve residents in Benicia community ●Project/service needs finalizing before is ready to launch ●Mostly 'good' on all scoring items 1-6 good (total score of 13-15, including a score of 3 on item 4), project deserves second priority for receiving funding. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●Statement demonstrates that organization has a general concept for project; no defined expectations of success/outcomes; no consideration given to prioritize Benicia residents ●Project/service still in development process ●Mostly "needs improvement" on all scoring items 1-6 (total score of 8-12, including a score of 3 on item 4), project deserves lower priority for receiving funding. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●Statement provides general or vague idea of service project ●Mostly 'inadequate' on all scoring. 			